

RESUMMATION OF LARGE LOGARITHMS IN THE CHARM STRUCTURE FUNCTION

W.L. van Neerven ^a

DESY-Zeuthen, Platanenallee 6, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany ^b

We show how one can resum the large logarithms which occur in the charm component of the structure function $F_{k,c}(x, Q^2, m_c^2)$ ($k = 2, L$) taken at three light flavours, in the limit $Q^2 \gg m_c^2$. This resummation leads to an expression of the above quantity for four light flavours including the charm quark density. The latter is related to the parton densities in the three light flavour number scheme.

The study of charm production in deep-inelastic electron-proton scattering has become an important issue in the extraction of parton densities in the proton. The reason is that in the kinematical region covered by the HERA experiments ^{1,2} the charm component of the structure function $F_{2,c}(x, Q^2, m_c^2)$ constitutes about 25 % of the total structure function $F_2(x, Q^2)$. Therefore the analysis of the data to yield parton densities can no longer treat charm electroproduction as a small correction. In a three flavour number scheme (TFNS) the charm component of the structure function can be written as convolutions, denoted by the symbol $\otimes$, of parton densities and heavy quark coefficient functions. For $k = 2, L$ it is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{k,c}^{\text{EXACT}}(n_f, x, Q^2, m_c^2) &= \frac{1}{n_f} \sum_{i=1}^{n_f} e_i^2 \left[\Sigma(n_f, \mu^2) \otimes L_{k,q}^S\left(n_f, \frac{Q^2}{m_c^2}, \frac{m_c^2}{\mu^2}\right) \right. \\
 &+ G(n_f, \mu^2) \otimes L_{k,g}^S\left(n_f, \frac{Q^2}{m_c^2}, \frac{m_c^2}{\mu^2}\right) + n_f \Delta(n_f, \mu^2) \otimes L_{k,q}^{\text{NS}}\left(n_f, \frac{Q^2}{m_c^2}, \frac{m_c^2}{\mu^2}\right) \Big] \\
 &e_c^2 \left[\Sigma(n_f, \mu^2) \otimes H_{k,q}^S\left(n_f, \frac{Q^2}{m_c^2}, \frac{m_c^2}{\mu^2}\right) + G(n_f, \mu^2) \otimes H_{k,g}^S\left(n_f, \frac{Q^2}{m_c^2}, \frac{m_c^2}{\mu^2}\right) \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where e_c stands for the charge of the charm quark and the number of light flavours is three ($n_f = 3$). The functions Σ and Δ stand for the singlet (S) and non-singlet (NS) combinations of parton densities respectively and G represents the density of the gluon. $L_{k,i}$ and $H_{k,i}$ ($i = q, g$) represent the heavy quark coefficient functions which can also be separated into singlet and

^aTalk presented at the DIS-98 Workshop, Brussels, Belgium, April 4-8, 1998.

^bOn leave of absence from Instituut-Lorentz, University of Leiden, P.O. Box 9506, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands.

non-singlet parts. The functions $L_{k,i}$ originate from the reactions where the virtual photon couples to the light quarks (u, d, s, $\bar{u}$, $\bar{d}$ and $\bar{s}$), whereas the $H_{k,i}$ describe the reactions where the virtual photon is attached to the c ($\bar{c}$) quark. Finally we have included in Eq. (1) that part of the light parton component of the proton structure function containing charm quark loop contributions to the light parton (u,d,s,g) coefficient functions only. Up to next-to-leading order (NLO) the exact form of the heavy quark coefficient functions can be found in^{3, 4}. If we take the limit $Q^2 \gg m_c^2$ these functions behave like

$$H_{k,i}^{\text{ASYMP},(l)}\left(z, \frac{Q^2}{m_c^2}, \frac{m_c^2}{\mu^2}\right) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^l \sum_{n+m \leq l} a_{k,i}^{nm}(z) \ln^n\left(\frac{m_c^2}{\mu^2}\right) \ln^m\left(\frac{Q^2}{m_c^2}\right), \quad (2)$$

with an analogous expression for $L_{k,i}^{\text{ASYMP}}$. The asymptotic heavy quark coefficient functions have been calculated in⁵. When the latter are substituted in Eq. (1) we obtain the asymptotic charm component of the structure function which is equal to

$$F_{k,c}^{\text{ASYMP}}(3, x, Q^2, m_c^2) = \lim_{Q^2 \gg m_c^2} \left[F_{k,c}^{\text{EXACT}}(3, x, Q^2, m_c^2) \right]. \quad (3)$$

A NLO analysis⁶ reveals that for $Q^2 > 20(\text{GeV}/c)^2$ and $x < 0.01$, $F_{2,c}^{\text{ASYMP}}$ coincides with $F_{2,c}^{\text{EXACT}}$ (1). Notice that these values are not that far above threshold. However in the case of the longitudinal structure function $F_{L,c}$ the value of Q^2 is much larger and it amounts to $1000 (\text{GeV}/c)^2$ for $x < 0.01$. The above findings show that in the kinematical regime covered by the HERA experiments the large logarithms in Eq. (2) entirely determine $F_{2,c}$ but not $F_{L,c}$. These large corrections vitiate the perturbation series so that they have to be resummed in all orders of perturbation theory. This procedure has been carried out in⁶ and can be described as follows. First we add the light parton (u,d,s,g) component of the proton structure function denoted by $F_k(3, x, Q^2)$ to $F_{k,c}^{\text{ASYMP}}$ (3). Then we perform mass factorization on the asymptotic heavy quark coefficient functions. For $H_{k,i}^{\text{ASYMP}}$ the mass factorization can be roughly written as

$$H_{k,i}^{\text{ASYMP}}\left(3, \frac{Q^2}{m_c^2}, \frac{m_c^2}{\mu^2}\right) = A_{ji}\left(3, \frac{m_c^2}{\mu^2}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{k,j}\left(4, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right), \quad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{k,i}$ is the light parton coefficient function appearing in $F_k(3, x, Q^2)$. The quantity which absorbs the charm quark mass is given by the operator matrix element A_{ji} ($i, j = q, g$) which is the expectation value of the heavy quark (here charm) operator sandwiched between light quark and gluon states.

Similarly one can write for $L_{k,i}^{\text{ASYMP}}$

$$\mathcal{C}_{k,i}\left(3, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{m_c^2}{\mu^2}\right) + L_{k,i}^{\text{ASYMP}}\left(3, \frac{Q^2}{m_c^2}\right) = A_{ji,c}\left(3, \frac{m_c^2}{\mu^2}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{k,j}\left(4, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right). \quad (5)$$

The operator matrix elements $A_{ji,c}$ emerge from sandwiching the light partonic operators between light quark and gluon states which contain charm loop contributions to the gluon self energy only. Notice that the above relations also involve a redefinition of the strong coupling constant which on the righthand side of the above equations depend on four instead of on three flavours. For the more precise relations we refer to ⁶. The mass factorization relations in Eqs. (4), (5) can be also used when on the lefthand side the asymptotic heavy quark functions are replaced by the exact ones. This has been done by the authors in ⁷ to obtain modified coefficient functions $\mathcal{C}_{k,i}$ on the righthand side. which now become dependent on the charm mass. In this way one can preserve the threshold behaviour of $F_{k,c}$ in their version of the variable flavour number scheme (VFNS) which differs from ours given below. Notice that using the method in ⁷ there is an arbitrariness in fixing the mass dependent $\mathcal{C}_{k,i}$. After substituting the mass factorization relations in the sum $F_k(3, x, Q^2) + F_{k,c}(x, Q^2, m_c^2)$ one obtains $F_k(4, x, Q^2)$ which now depend on four flavour parton densities including the one representing the charm quark. The latter can be expressed into the original light parton densities u,d,s and g as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f_{c+\bar{c}}(4, \mu^2) &\equiv f_4(4, \mu^2) + f_{\bar{4}}(4, \mu^2) \\ &= A_{cq}^S\left(3, \frac{m_c^2}{\mu^2}\right) \otimes \Sigma(3, \mu^2) + A_{cg}^S\left(3, \frac{m_c^2}{\mu^2}\right) \otimes G(3, \mu^2), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with similar expressions for the light parton densities ⁶. The above charm quark density has the property that it does not vanish at $\mu = m_c$ for NLO in the $\overline{MS}$ scheme. Further one can construct a new charm component of the structure function which is expressed into convolutions of the four light quark densities, including charm, and the gluon density with the light parton coefficient functions taken at four light flavours. This structure function, presented in the four flavour number scheme (FFNS), is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{k,c}^{\text{PDF}}(4, x, Q^2) &= e_c^2 \left[f_{c+\bar{c}}\left(4, \mu^2\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{k,q}^{\text{NS}}\left(4, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Sigma\left(4, \mu^2\right) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k,q}^{\text{PS}}\left(4, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right) + G\left(4, \mu^2\right) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k,g}^{\text{S}}\left(4, z, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k,q}^{\text{PS}}$ (purely singlet) is defined by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k,q}^{\text{S}} = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k,q}^{\text{NS}} + \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k,q}^{\text{PS}}$. The above expression stands for the resummation of all large logarithms appearing in $F_{k,c}^{\text{ASYMP}}$ and

describes the charm structure function far above threshold which is equivalent with large Q^2 and small x . An analysis⁶ of the structure function $F_{k,c}^{\text{EXACT}}$ (1) (TFNS) and $F_{k,c}^{\text{PDF}}$ (7) (FFNS) reveals that the former gives the best description of charm production in the threshold region whereas far away from threshold it is better to use the latter structure function. Further one also needs a scheme which merges the advantages of these two pictures and provides us with a good description of $F_{k,c}$ in the intermediate region. This is given by the so called variable flavour number scheme (VFNS) for which in⁶ we propose.

$$F_{2,c}^{\text{VFNS}}(x, Q^2, m^2) = F_{2,c}^{\text{PDF}}(4, x, Q^2) + F_{2,c}^{\text{EXACT}}(3, x, Q^2, m^2) - F_{2,c}^{\text{ASYMP}}(3, x, Q^2, m^2). \quad (8)$$

In lowest order the above expression coincides with the VFNS scheme proposed in⁸. The properties of $F_{2,c}^{\text{VFNS}}$ are discussed in⁶ and a comparison with the HERA data is made in⁹. From this comparison we conclude that at this moment the data do not allow us to discriminate between the various schemes. They all give a reasonable good description of the data at large as well as at small Q^2 .

References

1. M. Derrick et al. (ZEUS Collaboration), *Phys. Lett. B* **349**, 225 (1995).
2. C. Adloff et al. (H1 Collaboration), *Z. Phys. C* **72**, 593 (1996).
3. E. Laenen, S. Riemersma, J. Smith and W.L. van Neerven, *Nucl. Phys. B* **392**, 162 (1993);
B.W. Harris and J. Smith, *Nucl. Phys. B* **452**, 109 (1995).
4. S. Riemersma, J. Smith and W.L. van Neerven, *Phys. Lett. B* **347**, 143 (1995).
5. M. Buza, Y. Matiounine, J. Smith, R. Migneron and W.L. van Neerven, *Nucl. Phys. B* **472**, 611 (1996).
6. M. Buza, Y. Matiounine, J. Smith, W.L. van Neerven, *Eur. Phys. C* **1**, 301 (1998).
7. R.S. Thorne and R.G. Roberts, RAL-TR-97-049 (hep-ph/9709442), RAL-TR-97-061 (hep-ph/9711223).
8. M.A.G. Aivazis, J.C. Collins, F.I. Olness and W.K. Tung, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 3102 (1994).
9. M. Buza, Y. Matiounine, J. Smith, W.L. van Neerven, *Phys. Lett. B* **411**, 211 (1997).